State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-024		
	No.	1211110172A
	No.	1211110172B
	Disposition of Complaint 10-024	No.

ORDER

The complainant alleged that two superior court judges failed to investigate other judges and judicial officials who made incorrect legal rulings in his paternity case. The commission is not a court and cannot review evidence to determine if the judge ruled properly. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 19, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 19, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2010-024

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name:

Judge's Name:

Date: 01-29-10

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

COMPLIANANT WROTE TO PRESIDENC JUDGE (HON. COMMISSIONERS NELSON AND VANDEBERG INFRINGING UPON HIS CONST. RIGHT TO PROCEED PRO SE UNDER ARIZ. CONST. ART 2624 AND MANDATE OF FARETTA. V. CALIFORNIA, 422 U.S. 806, 95 S.Ct 2525 (1975). FURTHER, PRESIDING JUDGE HIGH SUPPRIVISORY ETATUS AND DUTIES TO CONDUCT AN INVESTIGATION REDRESS GRIEVABLE MATTERS UNDER THE COLDR OF STATE LAW. THE 4th ANE JAIL DID NOT BOTHER FOURDVIDE COPIES OF MOTIONS TO BE FILED WITH CLERK OF THE COURT, LEGAL SUPPLIES AND LAW BOOKS. LEGAL PLEADINGS AND INFOR-MATION WERE NOT COPIED NOR PROVIDED, AND ILLS PLACED A LIMIT ON THE AMOUNT OF NOTICE OF CLAIMS/UNDER AIR.S. \$12-821). MOREOVER, PUBLIC DEFENDER. ADVOCATE FAILED TO DISCLOSE DIRECT COMPLAINT. INDICEMENT. DRPARTMENTAL REDUCT (DR), LEGAL PLEADINGS, AND TRANSCRIPTS, AND OTHER TTEB DATED 02-17-09 ADDRESSED TO PRESIDING JUDGE. DUTIES TO NOT INVESTIGATE INTO OFFICERS OF THE COURT S.) OBSTRUCTING COMPLAINANT'S ACCESS TO THE COURT, NOR CONSIDERED THIS CLAIM OF BIGING PROVIDED INCOMPETENT LEGAL REPRESENTATION BY THE COURT. "NOTICE OF POST-CONVICTION RELIEF FILED UNDER RULE 32, MR.P.CRIM.P. THE PRESIDING JUDGE ABUSED HER DISCRETION TO ALLOW THE PUBLIC DEFENDER. DEATY LEGAL ADVOCATE AND (I.L.S.) TO ABUSE THEIR AUTHORITY ACCOUNTABLE UNDER FEDERAL LAW. 42 U.S.C.S. 1997e (Q)(1) . AND CONSTITUTION AND ARIZONA CONSTITUTION, AND CANON FOR JUDY.ES

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2010-024

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name:

Judge's Name: HON.

Date: 01-29-10

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

PURSUANT TO A MISCONDUCT COMPLAINT BROUGHT AGAINST PRI-SERVER FOR FILING A FALSIFIED AND PARTICIPATING IN THE CONSPIRACY WITH ATTORNEY GENERAL, DEPT. OF ECONOMIC SIGURITY COURT JUDGE TO ABSENTIA HEARING. DUE PROCESS OF LAW. THE FACT THAT COMPLAIN ANT NOTICE OF PROOF OF SERVICE PROCESS BY ILLGLALLY TAKING COMPLAINANT JOBS, SSI BENGATS ATION INTO THE PROCESS SCRUCK DINONT'S PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE HE DID NOT RESIDE AT NOTED ADDRESS AND DAY OF SERVICE OF PROCESS WAS IN FLIGH FILED AGAINST PROCESS SERVER, SEE ATTACHED FROM THE U.S. DEPUTY CLIERK OF ARIZONA NOTING CASE NO. CV-98 -1437-PHX-PGR, IN RESPONSE MORE RECENTLY. A NOTICE OF CLAIM KUTER DATED 12-19-09. UNDER ATTORNEY GENERAL AND RISK MAN ENVESTIGATION INTO STATE CAUSE OF ACTION. OF JUDGES, AN ENVESTIGATION INTO A JUDGE MISCONDUCT IS REGUESTED. SEE (LETTER DATED 01-21-10 U.S. COURTHOUSE CLERK CREQUEST FOR LEGAL INFORMATION. DOMEO 01-13-10), AND COMPLAINT FILED AGAINST SUDGE TORY M. GERST. WITH COMMISSION. (Attach additional sheets as needed.)